Feasibility and potential of separate anaerobic digestion of municipal sewage sludge fractions
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INTRODUCTION

An increasing trend in population and water usage induces a parallel increase in sewage sludge production in municipal wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) (Mininni et al., 2015). Anaerobic sludge digestion is the most common stabilisation method for sludge volatile solids (VS) due to methane energy gain and a resulting final sludge quality with potential for use in soil remediation. Conventionally, primary (PS) and secondary sludge (SS) fractions are mixed, thickened and stabilized via anaerobic digestion as the most common methodology in municipal WWTPs. Each fraction possesses different contents and degree of biodegradability (Winter and Pierce, 2010). Settleable solids, mostly proteins and lipids, in the wastewater are separated into PS reaching a high dry and volatile solid (DS and VS) concentration (5–9% DS (typical 6%)) and transferred to the thickening unit where mixing with the SS is provided (Tchobanoglous et al., 2004). Conversion rate to methane is faster for PS due to raw organic matter content but SS has a lower DS and VS content (0.5–1.5% DS (typical 0.8% DS)) composed of viable microorganisms and extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) for which hydrolysis rate is low. Hydrolysis becomes the rate-limiting stage of the anaerobic SS and mixed sludge (MS) digestion (Appels et al., 2008a). Mixing of SS and PS results in a lower solid content than PS alone in the thickened mixed sludge (MS) which can be observed in the gravity thickener results as 5–10% DS for PS thickening and 2–8% DS as PS+SS thickening (Tchobanoglous et al., 2004).

Efforts have been made to upgrade WWTP sludge line processes with improved anaerobic digestion efficiency under mesophilic and thermophilic conditions. Thermal hydrolysis and ultrasonication (UPT) have been commercialized and have been proven to cause a considerable increase in the VS removal and biomethanation of especially SS (Appels et al., 2008b, Cano et al., 2015). Novel techniques such as microwave irradiation and radiofrequency have emerged and have been shown to improve the digestion of sewage sludge and especially the SS fraction at bench-scale, but further investigation is needed to demonstrate self-sufficient economics at a larger scale (Kor-Bicakci and Eskicioglu, 2019).

Thermal pre-treatment (TPT) methods investigated by several researchers at a range of 60–220°C provided an increase in biogas production and VS degradation (Appels et al., 2008a). The aim of the first thermal hydrolysis applications was to enhance dewaterability prior to or after anaerobic digestion and was reached at temperatures greater than 150°C due to the release of bound water and intracellular water which required such elevated temperature application (Neyens and Baeyens, 2003; Pilli et al., 2015). High-temperature TPT was effective in the solubilization of protein (Bougrier et al., 2008; Mottet et al. 2009, Wilson and Novak, 2009) but reduced soluble carbohydrate content above 130°C (Bougrier et al., 2008; Mottet et al., 2009), adding to slowly biodegradable organic content with negligible effect on lipids (Muller, 2001; Bougrier et al., 2008). Consequently, TPT of SS proved more beneficial prior to mesophilic than thermophilic digestion at lab-scale, as the need to speed up of the mesophilic...
process is greater (Gavala et al., 2003). Cano et al. (2015) concluded that TS content was the main parameter in the self-sufficiency of the pre-treatment methods and full energy integration was required for TPT. Pre-thickening/dewatering of the raw sludge up to 15–16% dry solids (DS) by centrifuge was added to the method in all commercial applications necessitating a multi-stage and highly complex system (Pilli et al., 2015). Re-dilution and re-cooling of the pre-treated sludge is necessary before feeding to AD where different pre-thickening/dewatering procedures could be necessary for PS and SS, due to different water releasing characteristics, to reach the 15% DS level (Mehdizadeh et al., 2013). Thermal hydrolysis downstream of AD can improve dewaterability to a considerably higher degree and benefit for final incineration (Barber, 2016). Most of the TPT applications at full-scale were either implemented on SS alone or MS prior to incineration, for reduction in sludge volume, and thus the final disposal cost. Such upgrading of the WWTP sludge line has been popular in Europe due to land scarcity for landfilling (Barber, 2016). Separate treatment and disposal for PS and SS as wet oxidation of PS and TPT, followed by thermophilic anaerobic digestion for SS, with reference to a WWTP with incineration as the final disposal route resulted in 45% reduction of the sludge produced (Gianico et al., 2015). However, PS is highly amenable to anaerobic digestion, contributes to methane production, undergoes a high reduction in pathogen content and is a valuable energy source, able to provide 30% of WWTP energy consumption and thus sustainable wastewater management.

Modifications in the digestion technology at full-scale as conversion to a thermophilic level (Ipanpour et al., 2002; Shao et al., 2002; Lloret et al., 2013) aimed to obtain higher methane recovery and class A biosolids where temperature-phased (thermophilic+mesophilic two-stage) anaerobic stabilization proved successful at overcoming the instability of single-stage thermophilic AD at lab-scale (Rubio-Loza and Noyola, 2010) and full-scale (Krugel et al., 2002; Windau, 2004). Thermophilic digestion, which is a high-rate process, and thus sensitive to changes in volatile loading rate (VLR), may exhibit insufficient stability compared to a mesophilic process; various data reported in the literature are inconsistent, leading to lack of confidence in one-stage thermophilic digestion at full-scale (Forster-Carneiro et al., 2010). A two-stage thermophilic-mesophilic AD system has high potential to overcome the drawbacks of the one-stage process, with an increase in biogas yield, stability, and final sludge quality, with lower final sludge volume, where a more complex system and operation are introduced compared with the current mesophilic system.

Pre-treatment methods have been proven to be mostly advantageous for SS, due to its low biodegradability, regarding economic gain, but can provide only a limited reduction of the total pathogen count entering the AD (as this amount is much higher in PS). MS or SS is pre-dewatered via centrifuge before TPT or UPT at full-scale applications, which necessitates polymer consumption, a re-dilution and re-cooling before feeding to AD (Pilli et al., 2015). All chemical cost figures, including pre- and final dewatering, need to be clarified regarding full-scale applications, where polymer consumption data is the missing information for determining pre-treatment technologies’ cost efficiency, as it is only provided in varying patterns in a limited number of papers (Oosterhuis et al., 2014; Lancaster, 2015). The economics presented in the form of reduction in the digester retention time and volume, and increase in biogas, DS content and pasteurization degree, do not fulfill the net cost figures in all aspects including chemical costs, and may be the reason for the limited number of full-scale applications of pre-treatment technologies. Dissolved organic and ammonia nitrogen concentrations are very high in the stabilized sludge and supernatant (Dwyer et al., 2008). The organic end-products likely to exist in the final sludge are unknown and will change significantly depending on regional and local conditions. No data on the final total dissolved solids (TDS) or conductivity of the stabilized sludge, due to salinity being subject to increase through application of pre-treatment methods, were provided in the literature. The pre-treatment technologies are certainly advantageous in obtaining Class A biosolids in terms of pathogens, but this benefit may be cancelled out, for final use on land, due to increased ionic content, as VS removal and dissolved solids are increased by 4.5 and 10 times, respectively (Pilli et al., 2015). There is still a need to confirm the results obtained at lab-scale in the field, in terms of use of the stabilized sludge and supernatant for nutrient recovery, and remaining organic compounds and pathogens.

Sewage sludge characteristics varying regionally is another factor adding to the complexity of sludge stabilization. High solids loading (with pre-dewatering) will readily increase the biogas production, and the solids content of AD and outlet stabilized sludge. Methane production by anaerobic digestion can recover the energy consumption of the whole WWTP up to 75% (Erdirenceli and Kucukhemek, 2015), so, self-sufficiency of any pre-treatment method may not contribute to WWTP economics in terms of net energy gain, and thus may not be the optimum upgrade solution. The low VLR problem in AD feeding can be attributed to mixing of the PS and SS leading to weak thickening in the gravity thickeners and can be solved with pre-thickening/dewatering of the raw sludge by effective means (mechanical and/or chemical) (Tchobanoglu et al., 2004). For this aspect, separate thickening of PS and SS is a feasible solution. In fact, direct feeding of PS or reduced thickening retention time (for balancing the sludge flow) will eliminate the start of hydrolysis-acidification reactions, and thus biogas and odour formation, in this unit. Additionally, high retention time/volume for AD is not a disadvantage as it will provide higher stability and sufficient time for effective hydrolysis and pathogen removal in PS.

Separate stabilization of PS and SS is a simpler approach in the upgrading of a WWTP sludge line, where dynamic thickening of SS will increase the VS loading, and thus methane recovery (Tomei et al., 2016). As a result, lower digestion time will be possible for SS digestion. Co-thickening of PS and SS fractions has important negative effects on WWTP operation as PS contains a high degree of VS and SS dilutes the VS content of the mixed sludge (MS) leading to lower density sludge feeding to ADs than the design value (Erdirenceli et al., 2017). In that case, unsettled/unthickened solids are returned to the main line via recirculation of the thicker supernatant resulting in a vicious circle of solid matter between the primary settlers and the sludge thickeners (Erdirenceli and Kucukhemek, 2015). Furthermore, solids escaping in the thicker supernatant to the main line increases the mixed liquor volatile suspended solid concentration (MLVSS) of the biological treatment unit, and reduces F:M (food: microorganism) ratio, organic matter oxidation and nitrification levels due to excess VS loading. This situation creates a favourable condition for filamentous growth and dominance which may further lead to the deterioration of the major operational parameters of the biological treatment unit (e.g. reduced secondary settling performance, increase in effluent VSS concentration, bacterial washout and decrease in sludge age). Filamentous growth also affects ADs as the responsible bacteria are transported to ADs via wasted SS, which creates excessive scum causing interruption of AD automated feeding to the upper liquid/sludge level. Sludge that cannot be fed in
these incidences returns to the main line and adds to the vicious circle of solids in the plant (Erdirencelebi and Kucukhemek, 2015). An optimum ratio determined for MS at 60(PS):40(SS) (v:v) by Pinto et al. (2016) is hardly possible to attain in a routine WWTP operation as PS is drawn on a periodical basis from the primary settling tanks during the daytime. Return SS is formed at varying solid content, depending on the settling degree in the secondary settling tanks, and pumped at varying flowrates to ensure the design biomass concentration and sludge age in the biological treatment unit.

In the current situation in Turkey, biogas production is emphasized and ADs in municipal WWTPs are operated at a constant hydraulic retention time (HRT) of 18–20 d, and need improvement for more efficient VS removal, higher biogas yield and higher final sludge quality via potential alternative operational systems. Separate stabilization of PS and SS is an alternative method to optimize anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge fractions (Winter and Pierce, 2010; Tomei et al., 2016). Both fractions have a comparable VS/total solids (TS) percentage but different biodegradability and dewatering characteristics as well as VS and pathogen contents. Separate stabilization has the potential to increase the stabilization degree and/or final sludge quality, and thus enable higher energy production and fewer operational problems.

The present study investigated the process feasibility of separate/parallel anaerobic digestion of PS and SS fractions through assessing methane production, VS removal, pH and VFA level, total dissolved sulphide (TDS) production and stabilised sludge quality in terms of dewaterability, oil and grease, nitrogen (N) and phosphate (P) content. Long-term operational characteristics of the model were determined at increasing VLRs. Addition of FeCl₃ was implemented periodically to control possible TDS-quality, and thus enable higher energy production and fewer operational problems.

EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

Raw sludge characteristics

PS was collected from the sludge outlet of the primary settling tanks and SS from the sludge return line in Konya municipal WWTP, having a 1.2 million population equivalence. One-litre sludge samples were subjected to Imhoff settling for 6 and 22 h (average thickening retention time) and their thickening ability was obtained from the outlet of the AD. The characteristics of the sludge samples in terms of pH, total solids (TS), VS, oil and grease (%TS) and dewaterability were determined as presented in Table 1. PS samples had a much higher solid, VS and oil and grease (%TS) and dewaterability were determined as presented

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sludge type</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>TS (mg/L)</th>
<th>VS (mg/L)</th>
<th>Oil and grease (%TS)</th>
<th>Time-to-filter (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>6.3–7.0</td>
<td>30 000–50 000</td>
<td>25 000–40 450</td>
<td>5–10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>7.2–8.0</td>
<td>7 000–11 000</td>
<td>4 800–9 000</td>
<td>25–28</td>
<td>1.67–2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoculum</td>
<td>8.2–8.4</td>
<td>17 720–19 000</td>
<td>10 900–12 000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sequential batch reactor operation

Batch reactors at 250 mL total volume with gas outlet were fed with PS, SS and MS prepared as 60% PS +40% SS (v:v) in parallel mode for 5-d periods. The reactors were set with 150 mL of inoculum sludge and received 50 mL of sewage sludge fraction followed by degasification (with N₂ gas) and incubation at 35°C. The mixing was provided by hand shake twice a day following the biogas measurement.

Semi-continuous reactor operation

Two 2 000 mL glass (Schott) reactors with gas and sludge sampling outlets were operated in parallel and semi-continuous feeding/decanting mode with an active volume of 1 500 mL at 35°C. Sequential feeding of PS and SS were applied once a day depending on the hydraulic retention time (HRT). The volume fed was obtained by dividing the active volume by the HRT (1 500 mL/HRT). The operation was conducted according to parameters given in Table 2. The reactors were degasified (with N₂ gas) and incubated at 35°C following the feeding. Mixing was provided by hand shake 3 times a day after the feeding and methane measurement. Monitoring of the process performance parameters was conducted as described: methane measurement (3/d), pH, VFA, bicarbonate, TS, and VS (2/week), oil and grease and dewaterability (monthly). Iron chloride addition (50 mg/L) was applied to control TDS concentration.

Analytical methods

All the analytical methods implemented are presented below:

- Methane production was measured by liquid (0.1 N NaOH) displacement method 3 times a day. Methane yield was calculated by dividing the daily methane production (L) by the amount of VS fed (g) calculated by multiplying the feeding volume and VLR. VS_feed represents the mass of the sludge fed in terms of volatile solids on a daily basis.
- Standard methods conducted for raw sludge samples were TS (2540.B), VS (2540.E), TDS (4500 S_2⁻ F iodometric method), oil and grease (g/g VS × 100) (5520.E Soxhlet extraction) and time-to-filter (2710 H) (APHA, 2005).
- pH of the sludge samples was measured by Hach Lange HQ40d Multi parameter instrument.
- Bicarbonate and VFA concentrations of the sludge samples were determined by a two-point titrimetric method according to Anderson and Young (1992).

Table 2. Semi-continuous reactor operating programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sludge type</th>
<th>HRT (d)</th>
<th>VLR (kg VS/(m³·d))</th>
<th>Duration (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Total nitrogen (TN) in the sludge samples was determined via Koroleff digestion with peroxodisulfate and photometric measurement with 2,6 dimethylphenol (Hach Lange LCK 338).
• Total phosphate (TP) was measured via phosphormolybdenum blue method (Hach Lange LCK 350).

RESULTS

An anaerobic digestion study was started with a preliminary batch stabilisation of PS, SS and MS. Results obtained on biogas production were evaluated and the study was continued with higher volume reactors at semi-continuous mode with PS and SS and increasing VLRs. The process performance and final stabilised sludge quality were determined for the separate digestion system.

PS and SS samples were first subjected to thickening which was only obtained for SS at 450 mL/L at the end of 22 h, whereas PS floated to the top (700 mL/L) as a result of inner gas production. In the current practice, sludge thickeners are operated at 18–24 h HRT according to WWTP design criteria. The opposite behaviour of the two sewage sludge fractions in the thickening unit was the first result supporting the necessity of separate thickening or at least direct feeding of PS to the digesters. The design criteria range for thickening units is very large, at 2–8% dry solids (DS) (4% typical) (Tchobanoglous et al., 2004). Gravity thickening of PS does not usually produce a higher solid percentage (5–10% dry solids) than raw PS (5–9% dry solids), possibly due to its floating behaviour which is a natural outcome of anaerobic degradation of sewage solids, consisting of mostly raw organic compounds in the form of proteins, lipids, carbohydrates and bacteria, during their transport in long sewage pipes and this can be observed by the blackish colour, sulphurous odour and gas production of PS solids. Sulphide production is a strong indicator of anaerobic hydrolysis of proteinaceous matter (Adams et al., 2007). Additionally, PS solid concentration is sufficiently high for feeding to ADs. Dewaterability (filtering ability) of the PS, SS and stabilised sludge fractions supported the findings of Erdirenclebi et al. (2017), being placed in descending order as follows: SS > stabilised sludge > PS

Batch study results

The highest cumulative biogas production was obtained with PS digestion, but biogas yield in the fed VS base was higher for SS (Fig. 1a–b). Activity loss in the SS digestion occurred in the subsequent operation periods necessitating a change in the inoculum. Biogas production in MS digestion was obtained at a range between PS and SS at the lowest yield and underwent an activity loss where a new start with a new inoculum was necessary to increase its performance. Biogas yield obtained at 0.77 L/g VS fed in the first periods dropped to 0.45 L/g VS fed in PS digestion, whereas higher levels (0.6–1.95 L/g VS fed) were obtained for SS (Fig. 1b). According to the results, activity loss for SS digestion can be correlated to limited hydrolysis, and thus substrate+nutrient deficiency leading to starvation of anaerobic bacteria. Lower biodegradability of SS was reported in several studies (Krugel et al., 2002; Forster-Carneiro et al., 2010). Higher rates of VS loading might be a beneficial strategy to promote the methane production rate, whereas investigation of the final sludge quality in terms of dewaterability is useful towards achieving lower chemical/polymer consumption in the decanter unit.

Semi-continuous reactor study

Methane production, yield and TDS

Different patterns were obtained for PS and SS in methane yield with increasing VLR (Fig. 2a). PS digestion showed a decreasing yield after reaching a maximum level of 800(±20) mL/g VS fed at
1.1 kg VS/(m³·d), going down to stable levels of 580(±20) and 400(±15) mL/g VSfed at 1.5 and 1.65 kg VS/(m³·d). This pattern supported the need for high HRT for PS at 25–30 d.

New inoculum addition to the SS digester was necessary due to activity loss; activity was recovered and yield increased up to 835(±75) mL/g VSfed with increasing VLR to 1.44 kg VS/(m³·d). The yield value was highly stable even when it dropped at higher VLRs. Results indicated that optimum HRT was 18 d for maximum methane yield in SS digestion, able to produce 600(±30) mL/g VSfed at a lower HRT of 14 d with a stable pattern.

Daily methane production proceeded at the highest but fluctuating level of 1 200–2 200 mL/d at 1.64 kg VS/(m³·d) VLR for PS (Fig. 1b). VLR was reduced successively to 1.3, 1.1 and raised to 1.5 kg VS/(m³·d) in order to establish stability in the digester. A stable level of 1 350(±40) mL CH₄/d was reached during this period. The subsequent VLR increase to 1.65 kg VS/(m³·d) initiated a deterioration in the daily methane production as a significant drop to 1 000(±40) mL CH₄/d took place. This decrease pointed to an accumulating inhibitor effect as stable and constant methane production would be expected if the hydrolysis rate was exceeded. An increase in methane production at the highest VLR (2.05 kg VS/(m³·d)) where new raw sludge was used supports the existence of a previous source-originating inhibitory effect.

In SS digestion, daily methane production reached its highest level of 500(±50) mL CH₄/d at 0.44 kg VS/(m³·d) and no further change was obtained at 0.57 kg VS/(m³·d) VLR, indicating that the hydrolysis rate was not exceeded. The overall pattern was highly stable compared to the PS digester.

Iron chloride addition did not exhibit a significant effect on both methane data and TDS concentration, which proceeded at similar levels in both digesters, reaching a maximum level of 60(±5) mg S²⁻/L at VLRs of 1.3–1.5 (PS) and 0.33–0.4 kg VS/(m³·d) (SS) and then dropped down to stable levels in the 15–32 mg S²⁻/L range. Production of sulphide species is a result of proteinaceous organic matter hydrolysis and the pattern may be assessed as a delayed effect of iron chloride addition. The fact that iron chloride addition did not produce a significant effect on methane production indicated that iron deficiency did not exist.

**VS content and removal**

VS concentration of the effluent/stabilized sludge and digester showed an opposite pattern for PS and SS digestion, respectively, as increasing and decreasing from the starting level (Fig. 3a). It ascended to around 16 000 mg VS/L (1.6% VS) and stabilised at this level for stabilized PS, exhibiting no dependence on VLR and indicating that hydrolysis rate was not exceeded. Decreasing VS of the stabilized SS supported a deficiency of substrate and/or nutrients due to limited hydrolysis as biomass stabilised at a much lower level (4 850(±350) mg VS/L). A significant rise to 5 600 (±50) mg VS/L at the highest VLR indicated a hydrolysis rate between 0.44 and 0.57 kg VS/(m³·d) for SS digestion, supported by a drop in VS removal to 37(±2)% at the highest VLR from 40(±5)% (Fig. 3b).

VS removal reached a stable 52% level in the PS digestion after Day 70 in 1.5 kg VS/(m³·d) VLR period and proceeded at that value at the highest VLR (Fig. 3b). Consistency in both VS concentration and removal degree supported that hydrolysis rate was not exceeded and this level showed the biodegradability degree of PS.

**Oil and grease**

Oil and grease content of the final/stabilized PS and SS are presented as percentage of TS in Fig. 4a. This was reduced to a range of 6–16% in PS digestion, with a substantial degree of 85–88, 69–78 and 65–72% removal at HRT of 30, 25 and 16–22 d, respectively. Oil and grease content was very high in the raw PS and a significant effect of HRT/VLR was determined, indicating a high-HRT advantage in reducing the lipid content in the stabilised PS. An opposite pattern was obtained in SS digestion as an increase in the oil and grease content up to 35–40% occurred at HRT values < 30 d in stabilised SS samples. A low degree of removal, at 6–19%, was cancelled out within the reduction in VS and TS. This was correlated to the low biodegradability of bacterial cells in SS which retained their cellular lipids and transported them into the stabilised sludge.

---

**Figure 3.** Effluent sludge (a) VS concentration and (b) % VS removal

**Figure 4.** (a) Oil and grease content, and (b) dewaterability of the stabilised PS and SS (orange arrow: iron chloride addition)
Dewaterability

Dewaterability determined as time-to-filter (filtering time) values obtained for stabilised sludge fractions showed opposite levels for PS and SS in the earliest period, as high and low, respectively, corresponding to VLRs of 1.64 and 0.3 kg VS/(m³·d) (Fig. 4b). The dewatering ability increased as the PS digester’s stability improved, reaching a minimum value of less than half at the same VLR on Day 89, indicating that stable operation was an important factor. Iron chloride addition had some positive effect on filtering time.

Dewatering ability of the stabilised SS proceeded with a fluctuating pattern throughout the study with a limited improvement at the highest VLRs (0.44 and 0.57 kg VS/(m³·d)) indicating that lower HRT, thus, lower destruction of SS, may require a lower chemical/polymer consumption at the decanter unit than lower VLRs/higher HRT. High oil and grease content is known to affect stabilised sludge dewaterability and beneficial usage in a negative direction (Ziels et al., 2016). Reduction in filtering time in stabilised PS to lower levels than stabilised SS was correlated to efficient removal of oil and grease. As oil and grease content increased in stabilised SS during digestion, its negative effect on dewaterability was observed, with higher and fluctuating filtration time values compared to stabilised PS.

pH, HCO3– and VFA balance

pH proceeded at stable levels of 7.85–8.55 and 7.99–8.75 in the stabilised PS and SS, respectively (Fig. 5a). Anaerobic digestion increased pH of both raw PS (6–6.45) and SS (7.05–7.3). The acidic pH of PS indicated that hydrolysis and acidification reactions had started within the raw sludge. VFA rising to slightly higher levels (120–173 mg CaCO₃/L) starting on Day 68 at 1.5 kg VS/(m³·d), indicated a slightly toxic effect on the methanogenesis stage. Similarly, maximum VFA concentration in SS digestion occurred at a slightly higher level as 179–206 mg CaCO₃/L, indicating some stress for methanogens. The buffering compound of anaerobic digestion, bicarbonate, tended to occur at a higher and larger range in the PS digestion, as 800–1 700 mg CaCO₃/L versus 600–1 000 mg CaCO₃/L for SS digestion. This was attributed to a higher degree of proteinaceous matter destruction in PS digestion. Higher acidification and VFA production in PS digestion were reported at a much lower lipid content of 6.4–14.8% by Gonzales et al. (2003).

Nutrient content

N content was determined as 56.5–84 and 120–177 mg N/g VS for stabilised PS and SS, respectively. Similarly, P content was lower for stabilised PS; 12–20 mg P/g VS versus 30–56 mg P/g VS for stabilised SS. Yan et al. (2013) obtained similar ranges for N and P content as 65.9–90 mg N/g VS and 15–27 mg P/g VS for stabilised PS versus 130–187.5 mg N/g VS and 25.6–60 mg P/g VS for stabilised SS.

DISCUSSION

A model of separate anaerobic digestion was studied and different degrees of biodegradability were obtained as 52(±1) and 40(±5)% VS removal for PS and SS, respectively. High removal and methane yield levels according to literature were correlated to high oil and grease content (Tchobanoglous et al., 2004) and a related toxic effect was observed as a consequence. The best operational parameters were determined to guide full-scale application, both in terms of energy gain and chemical savings.

Optimum VLRs were obtained for long-term operation resulting in different HRTs for sewage sludge fractions. For PS digestion, 1.3 kg VS/(m³·d) resulted in the highest methane yield whereas 1.5 kg VS/(m³·d) provided VS removal at the highest level (52%) and a better dewatering ability for the final/stabilised sludge. The corresponding HRT range was obtained at 22–25 d for an average VS content of 33 000 mg/L for raw PS. High HRT proved a better strategy for PS digestion to obtain higher methane yield and VS removal where stable long-term operation was an important factor for dewaterability.

For SS digestion a highly stable performance was obtained during the study. 0.44 kg VS/(m³·d) VLR and an HRT of 18 d resulted in the highest methane yield and dewatering ability, whereas highest VLR (0.57 kg VS/(m³·d)) and lowest HRT (14 d) values proved feasible in the study as comparable performance was obtained. Maximum hydrolysis rate for VS was estimated as a value between these VLRs (0.44–0.57 kg VS/(m³·d)). High-rate SS digestion, depending on VS removal not exceeding the hydrolysis rate, is possible for optimum dewaterability of the waste biological sludge, which will lower chemical cost at the decanter unit due to limited degradation. High nutrient content is an advantage for use on land, but the exceptionally high oil and grease content encountered was due to local conditions and may cause a disadvantage. Lower levels of oil and grease content of stabilised SS were reported even for raw PS (Tchobanoglous et al., 2004; Gonzales et al., 2003). Local source-based control of this pollutant would be a solution or pre-treatment technologies effective in bacterial cell destruction would ease its hydrolysis and conversion to methane. Wouter and Verstraete (1997) obtained a lower methane yield at 0.52–0.6 L/g VS, and VS removal (35%) at much higher VLR (0.6–0.79 kg VS/(m³·d)) in PS digestion. Kepp and Solheim (2000) reported much lower methane yield ranges as 306 and 146–217 L/kg for PS and SS, respectively. Methane yield obtained was similar for SS digestion at higher VLRs (0.2–0.99 kg VS/(m³·d)) by Martinez et al. (2016). Higher loading at the same retention and methane production levels led to lower VS removal.

HRT of 18–20 d for anaerobic MS digestion in Turkey enables high methane production rates but leads to lower DS content in

**Figure 5.** pH, bicarbonate and VFA balance of (a) PS and (b) SS fed digesters
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Different degrees of biodegradability were obtained as 52(±1)
WWTP sludge stabilisation concluded that:

- The separate sewage sludge digestion study for municipal
  in WWTPs receiving only domestic wastewaters.
- Toxicants may cause deterioration of the process. Within the
  important risk for stable methanogenic performance as potential
  character is another drawback of the proposed model where
  parametric values and possibly higher VLRs. Change in PS
  as continuous stirring and feeding can result in different
  The results still need to be adjusted in continuously fed digesters
  compared to current practice will provide better VS removal and
  maintain high methane recovery rates, enabling elimination of
  sludge thickeners which can significantly reduce floating solids
  recycle to the main line treatment units.

Higher retention times for PS digestion in the separate system
compared to current practice will provide better VS removal and
sludge volume reduction but will increase dissolved solids (salinity) in
the stabilized sludge and chemical/polymer costs, requiring a highly
complex system for which further data are necessary at full-scale
(Barber, 2016). Pre-treatment via chemical or physical means may
result in a greatly destroyed bacterial and floc structure, and a
higher methane yield, but much reduced dewaterability.

High VLRs may present risks for PS digestion as many toxic
pollutants tend to accumulate, to a large degree adsorbed on
the raw particulate matter (e.g. heavy metals, lipid matter, toxic
organics). Gianico et al. (2015) proposed wet aerobic oxidation
for PS as advantageous versus incineration or landfilling. VS
content and methane recovery from PS is high and contributes
to overall WWTP operational costs at a considerably higher level
than SS; therefore, another stabilisation method as an
alternative to anaerobic digestion will bring multiple costs to
WWTP operation. Additionally, an important contribution can
be made by mechanical thickeners to the degree of thickening
of SS (Mininni et al., 2015; Tomei et al., 2016). SS thickening/
dewaterability degree depends on several factors and nutrient-
removing modified activated sludge systems on the main line
can produce SS with high settling ability (Erdirencelebi and
Kucukheme, 2015).

Feasibility was shown for PS digestion as higher HRT,
methane yield, improved dewaterability and direct feeding
to the digesters, offering a high potential with some risks. A
lab- or pilot-scale feasibility study is necessary to determine
the optimum VLR before real-scale implementation. Higher
VLRs than hydrolysis rate will lead to VS and possible
inhibitor accumulation in the digester.

- Oil and grease proved an important parameter in the
  anaerobic digestion of sewage sludge, affecting both methane
  yield and dewatering ability. High oil and grease content in
  PS was effectively converted to methane and dewaterability
  was improved, whereas limited destruction of bacterial cells
  allowed a low degree of removal of the reserved cellular
  content and resulted in an augmentation in the stabilised SS.
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GLOSSARY

Dewaterability: The ability of the sludge to release its water
content.

Time-to-filter: Time necessary to filter half the initial sludge
sample volume representing the ability to release its water
content. The lower the time value, the higher is the dewatering
ability.

HRT: Hydraulic retention time of the anaerobic stabilization
gives the reactor volume necessary for a given sludge flow
rate. Lower HRT means lower reactor volume.

VLR: Volatile loading rate represents the VS entering the AD
per m² of its volume on a daily basis. If sludge feeding/flow
rate is increased, VLR is increased and HRT is reduced on
the AD.

Methane yield: Specific methane production per sludge VS
loading in m³/kg VS-d.
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